

Evaluation of Certificated Personnel

The District has a firm commitment to performance evaluation of District personnel, whatever their category and level, through the medium of a formalized system. The primary purpose of such evaluation is to assist personnel in professional development and in achieving District goals. This policy applies to certificated personnel, but the District shall differentiate between non-instructional and pupil instructional personnel. The Superintendent is hereby directed to create procedures that differentiate between certificated non-instructional and certificated pupil instructional personnel in a way that aligns with the *Charlotte Danielson Framework for Teaching Second Edition* to the extent possible and aligns to the pupil staff's applicable national standards.

Each certificated staff member shall receive at least one (1) written evaluation to be completed by no later than June 1 for each annual contract year of employment and shall use multiple measures that are research based and aligned to the *Charlotte Danielson Framework for Teaching Second Edition* domains and components. The evaluation of certificated personnel shall annually include a minimum of two documented observations, one of which shall be completed prior to January 1st. In situations where certificated personnel are unavailable for two documented classroom observations, due to situations such as long-term illness, late year hire, etc., one documented classroom observation is acceptable.

Objectives

The formal performance evaluation system is designed to:

1. Maintain or improve each employee's job satisfaction and morale by letting him/her know that the supervisor is interested in his/her job progress and personal development.
2. Serve as a systematic guide for supervisors in planning each employee's further training.
3. Assure considered opinion of an employee's performance and focus maximum attention on achievement of assigned duties.
4. Assist in determining and recording special talents, skills, and capabilities that might otherwise not be noticed or recognized.
5. Assist in planning personnel moves and placements that will best utilize each employee's capabilities.
6. Provide an opportunity for each employee to discuss job problems and interests with his/her supervisor.
7. Assemble substantiating data for use as a guide, although not necessarily the sole governing factor, for such purposes as wage adjustments, promotions, disciplinary action, and termination.

Responsibility

The **Superintendent**, or the Superintendent's designee, shall have the overall responsibility for the administration and monitoring of the Performance Evaluation Program and will ensure the fairness and efficiency of its execution, including:

1. Distributing proper evaluation forms in a timely manner.
2. Ensuring completed evaluation forms are returned for filing by a specified date.
3. Reviewing evaluations for completeness.
4. Identifying discrepancies.
5. Ensuring proper safeguard and filing of completed evaluations.
6. Creating and implementing a plan for ongoing training for evaluators and certificated personnel on the District's evaluation standards, forms, and processes and a plan for collecting and using data gathered from evaluations.
7. Creating a plan for ongoing review of the District's Performance Evaluation Program that includes stakeholder input from teachers, Board members, administrators, parents/guardians, and other interested parties.
8. Creating a procedure for remediation for employees that receive evaluations indicating that remediation would be an appropriate course of action.
9. Creating an individualized evaluation rating system for how evaluations will be used to identify proficiency and record growth over time with a minimum of three rankings used to differentiate performance of certificate holders including: unsatisfactory being equal to a rating of 1; basic being equal to a rating of 2; and proficient being equal to a rating of 3. A fourth rating of distinguished being equal to 4 may also be used.

The **Immediate Supervisor** (Evaluator) is the employee's "evaluator" and has the responsibility for:

1. Continuously observing and evaluating an employee's job performance including a minimum of two documented observations annually for certificated personnel, one of which shall be completed prior to January 1st of each year.
2. Holding periodic counseling sessions with each employee to discuss job performance.
3. Completing Performance Evaluation Forms as required.

The individuals assigned this responsibility shall have received training in conducting evaluations based on the statewide framework for evaluations within the immediate previous five years of conducting any evaluations.

Written Evaluation

A written summative evaluation will be completed for each certificated employee by June 1st. A copy will be given to the employee. The original will be retained by the Immediate Supervisor. The evaluation should be reviewed annually and revised as necessary to indicate any significant changes in duties or responsibilities. The evaluation is designed to increase planning and relate performance to assigned responsibilities through joint understanding between the evaluator and the employee as to the job description and major performance objectives.

The written evaluation will identify the sources of data used in conducting the evaluation. Aggregate data shall be considered as part of the District and individual school needs assessment in determining professional development offerings.

Evaluation Measures

Observations: Periodic classroom observations will be included in the evaluation process with a minimum of two documented observations annually for certificated personnel, one of which shall be completed prior to January 1st. In situations where certificated personnel are unavailable for two documented classroom observations, due to situations such as long-term illness, late year hire, etc., one documented classroom observation is acceptable.

Professional Practice: A majority of the evaluation of certificated personnel will be comprised of Professional Practice based on the *Charlotte Danielson Framework for Teaching Second Edition*. The evaluation will include at least one of the following as a measure to inform the Professional Practice portion: input received from parents/guardians, input received from students, and/or portfolios. The District has chosen input received from parents/guardians as its measure(s) to inform the Professional Practice portion.

Student Achievement: Instructional staff evaluation ratings must, in part, be based on measurable student achievement as defined in Section 33-1001, Idaho Code, applicable to the subjects and grade ranges taught by the instructional staff. All other certificated staff evaluations must include measurable student achievement or student success indicators as applicable to the position. This portion of the evaluation may be calculated using current and/or the immediate past year's data and may use one or both years' data. Growth in student achievement may be considered as an optional measure for all other school-based and District-based staff, as determined by the Board.

Charlotte Danielson Framework: The evaluation will be aligned with minimum State standards and based upon the *Charlotte Danielson Framework for Teaching Second Edition* and will include, at a minimum, the following general criteria upon which the Professional Practice portion will be based. Individual domain and component ratings must be determined based on a combination of professional practice and student achievement as specified above.

Individuals who hold a Professional or Advanced Professional Endorsement will be annually evaluated in at least two domains, including **Domain 2 or Domain 3**. Whether the District evaluates on only two domains or all domains is left to the discretion of the individual administrator and may be decided on a case-by-case basis for each employee. All other instructional or pupil service staff employees must be evaluated across all domains.

1. Planning and Preparation
 - a. Demonstrating Knowledge of Content and Pedagogy
 - b. Demonstrating Knowledge of Students
 - c. Setting Instructional Goals Outcomes
 - d. Demonstrating Knowledge of Resources
 - e. Designing Coherent Instruction
 - f. Designing Student Assessments

2. Classroom Learning Environment
 - a. Creating an Environment of Respect and Rapport
 - b. Establishing a Culture for Learning
 - c. Managing Classroom Procedures
 - d. Managing Student Behavior
 - e. Organizing Physical Space

3. Instruction and Use of Assessment
 - a. Communicating with Students
 - b. Using Questioning and Discussion Techniques
 - c. Engaging Students in Learning
 - d. Using Assessment in Instruction
 - e. Demonstrating Flexibility and Responsiveness

4. Professional Responsibilities
 - a. Reflecting on Teaching
 - b. Maintaining Accurate Records
 - c. Communicating with Families
 - d. Participating in a Professional Community
 - e. Growing and Developing Professionally
 - f. Showing Professionalism

Meeting with the Employee

Counseling Sessions: Counseling sessions between supervisors and employees may be scheduled periodically. During these sessions, an open dialogue should occur which allows the exchange of performance oriented information. The employee should be informed of how he/she has performed to date. If the employee is not meeting performance expectations, the employee should be informed of the steps necessary to improve performance to the desired level. Counseling sessions should include, but not be limited to, the following: job responsibilities, performance of duties, and attendance. A memorandum for record will be prepared following each counseling session and maintained by the supervisor.

Each evaluation shall include a meeting with the affected employee. At the scheduled meeting with the employee, the supervisor will:

1. Discuss the evaluation with the employee, emphasizing strong and weak points in job performance. Commend the employee for a job well done if applicable and discuss specific corrective action if warranted. Set mutual goals for the employee to reach before the next performance evaluation. Recommendations should specifically state methods to correct weaknesses and/or prepare the employee for future promotions.
2. Allow the employee to make any written comments he/she desires. Inform the employee that he/she may turn in a written rebuttal/appeal of any portion of the evaluation within seven (7) days and outline the process for rebuttal/appeal. Have the employee sign the evaluation form indicating that he/she has been given a copy and initial after supervisor's comments.

No earlier than seven (7) days following the meeting, if the supervisor has not received any written rebuttal, the supervisor will forward the original evaluation form in a sealed envelope, marked Personnel-Evaluation Form to the Superintendent, or the designee, for review. The supervisor will also retain a copy of the completed form.

Rebuttals/Appeal

If a staff member disagrees with the outcome of her/his evaluation, the staff member may request one or all of the following options to take place within 3 days of the observation:

- a. An additional evaluation completed by her/his administrator.
- b. A second evaluation completed by a different administrator. If the second evaluation is acceptable to the staff member, the second evaluation shall become the official evaluation.
- c. Peer assistance (chosen by the staff member) for a period of up to 4 (four) weeks followed by an additional evaluation done by the same administrator.

Additional requested evaluations will be added to the staff member's personnel file, yet do not replace or eliminate the original evaluation.

Action

Each evaluation will include identification of the actions, if any, available to the District as a result of the evaluation as well as the procedure(s) for implementing each action. Available actions include, but are not limited to, recommendations for renewal of employment, non-renewal of employment, probation, and others as determined. Should any action be taken as a result of an evaluation to not renew an individual's contract the District will comply with the requirements and procedures established by State law.

Records

Permanent records of each certificated personnel's evaluation and any properly submitted rebuttal/appeal documentation will be maintained in the employee's personnel file. All evaluation records, including rebuttal/appeal documentation, will be kept confidential within the parameters identified in State and federal law regarding the right to privacy.

Reporting

Any subsequent changes to the District's evaluation plan shall be resubmitted to the State Department of Education for approval. The District shall report the summative rankings, the number of components rated as unsatisfactory, whether a majority of the certificated personnel's students met their measurable student achievement or growth targets or student success indicators as well as what measures were used, and whether an individualized professional learning plan is in place for all certificated personnel evaluations, annually to the State Department of Education.

Principals

Principals are the chief administrators of their assigned schools. The primary responsibility of principals is to supervise the operation and management of their assigned schools. They shall be under the direct supervision of the Superintendent. The majority of the principals' time shall be spent on curriculum and staff development through formal and informal activities establishing clear lines of communication regarding the school rules, accomplishments, practices, and policies with parents, students, and teachers. Principals are responsible for management of their staff, maintenance of the facility and equipment, administration of the educational program, control of the students attending the school, management of the school's budget, and communication between the school and the community.

Evaluation of Principals

Each principal shall receive at least one written evaluation to be completed no later than June 1st for each annual contract year of employment. Each principal evaluation shall use multiple measures that are research based and aligned to the State minimum standards based on the Interstate School Leaders Licensure Consortium (ISLLC) standards and include proof of proficiency in conducting teacher evaluations using the State's adopted model, the *Charlotte Danielson Framework for Teaching Second Edition*.

The process of developing criteria and procedures for principal evaluations will allow

opportunities for input from stakeholders, including the Board, administrators, teachers, and parents/guardians.

Evaluation Objectives

The District's Principal Evaluation Program is designed to:

1. Maintain or improve each principal's job satisfaction and morale by letting him or her know that the Superintendent is interested in his or her job progress and personal development;
2. Serve as a systematic guide for planning each principal's further training and professional development;
3. Assure considered opinion of a principal's performance and focus maximum attention on achievement of assigned duties;
4. Assist in determining and recording special talents, skills, and capabilities that might otherwise not be noticed or recognized;
5. Assist in planning personnel moves and placements that will best utilize each principal's capabilities;
6. Provide an opportunity for each principal to discuss job problems and interests with the Superintendent; and
7. Assemble substantiating data for use as a guide, although not necessarily the sole governing factor, for such purposes as wage adjustments, promotions, disciplinary action, and termination.

Responsibility

The Superintendent shall have the responsibility for administrating and monitoring the District's Principal Evaluation Program and will ensure the fairness and efficiency of its execution, including:

1. Creating and implementing a plan for ongoing training and professional development and the funding thereof for principals in the District's Performance Evaluation Program, including evaluation standards, forms, procedures, and processes and a plan for collecting and using data gathered from evaluation;
2. Creating a plan for ongoing review of the District's Principal Evaluation Program that includes stakeholder input from teachers, Board Members, administrators, parents/guardians, and other interested parties;
3. Creating a procedure for remediation for principals that receive evaluations indicating that remediation would be an appropriate course of action;

4. Creating an individualized evaluation rating system for how principal evaluations will be used to identify proficiency and record growth over time with a minimum of three rankings used to differentiate performance of principals including:
 - A. Unsatisfactory being equal to a rating of 1;
 - B. Basic being equal to a rating of 2; and
 - C. Proficient being equal to a rating of 3.

A fourth evaluation rating of Distinguished, being equal to “4,” may be used in addition to the three (3) minimum rankings at the discretion of the Board; and
5. Completing Principal Evaluation annually, ensuring proper safeguards, and filing completed evaluations.

The individuals assigned this responsibility shall have received training in administrator evaluations based on the statewide framework for evaluations.

Written Evaluation

A written summative evaluation will be completed for each principal by the Superintendent no later than June 1st for each annual contract year of employment. A copy will be given to the principal. The original will be retained by the Superintendent. The evaluation shall be reviewed annually and revised as necessary to indicate any significant changes in duties or responsibilities. The evaluation is designed to increase planning and relate performance to assigned responsibilities through joint understanding between the Superintendent and the principal as to the job description and major performance objectives.

The evaluation will identify the sources of data used in conducting the evaluation. Proficiency in conducting observations and evaluating effective teacher performance shall be included as one source of data.

Evaluation Measures and Criteria

Professional Practice: Principals must receive an evaluation in which a majority of the summative evaluation results are based on Professional Practice. All measures within the Professional Practice portion of the evaluation must be aligned at a minimum to the following Domains and Components based upon the Idaho Standards for Effective Principals.

Domain 1: School Climate: The principal promotes the success of all students by advocating, nurturing, and sustaining a school culture and instructional program conducive to student learning and staff professional development. The principal articulates and promotes high expectations for teaching and learning while responding to diverse community interests and needs.

1. **School Culture:** The principal establishes a safe, collaborative, and supportive culture ensuring all students are successfully prepared to meet the requirements for tomorrow’s careers and life endeavors;

2. **Communication:** The principal is proactive in communicating the vision and goals of the school or District, the plans for the future, and the successes and challenges to all stakeholders; and
3. **Advocacy:** The principal advocates for education, the District and school, teachers, parents, and students and engenders school support and involvement.

Domain 2: Collaborative Leadership: The principal promotes the success of all students by ensuring management of the organization, operations, and resources for a safe, efficient, and effective learning environment. In collaboration with others, he or she uses appropriate data to establish rigorous, concrete goals in the context of student achievement and instructional programs. The principal uses research and/or best practices in student achievement, instructional programs, and improving the education program.

1. **Shared Leadership:** The principal fosters shared leadership that takes advantage of individual expertise, strengths, and talents, and cultivates professional growth;
2. **Priority Management:** The principal organizes time and delegates responsibilities to balance administrative/managerial, educational, and community leadership priorities;
3. **Transparency:** The principal seeks input from stakeholders and takes all perspectives into consideration when making decisions;
4. **Leadership Renewal:** The principal strives to continuously improve leadership skills through professional development, self-reflection, and utilization of input from others; and
5. **Accountability:** The principal establishes high standards for professional, legal, ethical, and fiscal accountability for self and others.

Domain 3: Instructional Leadership: The principal promotes the success of all students by facilitating the development, articulation, implementation, and stewardship of a vision of learning that is shared and supported by the school community. The principal provides leadership for major initiatives and change efforts and uses research and/or best practices in improving the education program.

1. **Innovation:** The principal seeks and implements innovative and effective solutions that comply with general and special education law;
2. **Instructional Vision:** The principal ensures that instruction is guided by a shared, research-based instructional vision that articulates what students do to effectively learn;
3. **High Expectations:** The principal sets high expectation for all students academically, behaviorally, and in all aspects of student well-being;
4. **Continuous Improvement of Instruction:** The principal has proof of proficiency in assessing teacher performance based upon the Charlotte Danielson Framework for Teaching Second Edition and aligns resources, policies, and procedures toward continuous improvement of instructional practice guided by the instructional vision;

5. **Evaluation:** The principal uses teacher/principal evaluation and other formative feedback mechanisms to continuously improve teacher/principal effectiveness; and
6. **Recruitment and Retention:** The principal recruits and maintains a high quality staff.

The evaluation will also include at least one of the following as a measure to inform the Professional Practice portion:

1. Input received from parents or guardians;
2. Input received from students;
3. Input received from teachers; and/or
4. Portfolios.

The District has chosen input received from parents/guardians and portfolios as its measure(s) to inform the Professional Practice portion. The Board shall determine the manner and weight of parental input, student input, teacher input, and/or portfolios on the evaluation.

Student Achievement: Part of the evaluation must be based on multiple objective measures of growth in measurable student achievement as defined in Section 33-1001, Idaho Code. This portion of the evaluation may be calculated using current and/or the immediate past year's data and may use one or both years of data. Growth in student achievement may be considered as an optional measure for all other school-based and District-based administrators, as determined by the Board.

Proof of Proficiency in Teacher Evaluations

Proof of proficiency in evaluating teacher performance shall be required of all individuals assigned the responsibility for appraising, observing, or evaluating certificated personnel performance. The individuals assigned this responsibility shall have received training in administrator evaluations based on the statewide framework for evaluations.

Communicating Evaluation Results

Each evaluation shall include a meeting between the Superintendent and principal wherein the Superintendent will:

1. Discuss the evaluation with the principal, emphasizing strong and weak points in job performance. Commend the principal for a job well done if applicable and discuss specific corrective action if warranted. Recommendations should specifically state methods to correct weaknesses. Set mutual goals for the principal to reach before the next performance evaluation.
2. Allow the principal to make any written comments he or she desires. Inform the principal that he or she may turn in a written rebuttal/appeal of any portion of the evaluation within seven days and outline the process for rebuttal/appeal. Have the principal sign the evaluation indicating that he or she has been given a copy.

Rebuttal/Appeal

Within three days from the date of the evaluation meeting with the Superintendent the principal may file a written rebuttal/appeal of any portion of the evaluation. The written rebuttal/appeal shall state the specific content of the evaluation with which the principal disagrees, a statement of the reason(s) for disagreement, and the amendment to the evaluation requested.

If a written rebuttal/appeal is received by the Superintendent within seven days, the Superintendent shall provide the principal with a written response within ten working days either amending the evaluation as requested by the principal or stating the reason(s) why the Superintendent will not be amending the evaluation as requested.

If the Superintendent chooses to amend the evaluation as requested by the principal then the amended copy of the evaluation will be provided to, and signed by, the principal and retained in the principal's personnel file.

If the Superintendent chooses not to amend the evaluation as requested by the principal then the evaluation along with the written rebuttal/appeal, and the Superintendent's response, if any, will be retained in the principal's personnel file.

Action

Each evaluation will include identification of the actions, if any, available to the District as a result of the evaluation as well as the procedure(s) for implementing each action. Available actions include, but are not limited to, recommendations for renewal of employment, non-renewal of employment, probation, and others as determined. Should any action be taken as a result of an evaluation to not renew a principal's contract the District will comply with the requirements and procedures established by State law.

Records

Permanent records of each principal evaluation will be maintained in the principal's personnel file. All evaluation records, including rebuttal/appeal documentation, will be kept confidential within the parameters identified in State and federal law regarding the right to privacy.

Reporting

Any subsequent changes to the District's evaluation plan shall be resubmitted to the State Department of Education for approval. The District shall report the rankings of individual principal evaluations annually to the State Department of Education.



LEGAL REFERENCE:

Idaho Code Sections

33-514 - Issuance of Annual Contracts – Support programs – Categories of Contracts
– Optional Placement – Written Evaluation

33-515 - Issuance of Renewable Contracts

33-518 - Employee Personnel Files

33-1001 – Definitions

IDAPA 08.02.02.120 - Local District Evaluation Policy

IDAPA 08.02.02.121 - Local District Evaluation Policy – School Principal

ADOPTED: 9/17/77

AMENDED: 2/14/2000, 12/13/2010, 08/13/2012, 9/11/2017, 1/9/2023